From: Poling, Jeanie (CPC)

Sent: Monday, September 16, 2019 7:10 PM **To:** Balboa Reservoir Compliance (ECN)

Subject: FW: CONSEQUENCES OF THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE USED FOR TRANSIT DELAY

Attachments: Comment 12.docx; RESERVOIR-RELATED DELAY.docx

From: aj <ajahjah@att.net>

Sent: Saturday, September 14, 2019 9:04 PM

To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>;

CPC.BalboaReservoir < CPC.BalboaReservoir@sfgov.org>

Cc: BRCAC (ECN)
brcac@sfgov.org>

Subject: CONSEQUENCES OF THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE USED FOR TRANSIT DELAY

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

CONSEQUENCES OF THRESHOLD OF SIGNIFICANCE USED FOR TRANSIT DELAY

The "less-than-significant" determination for Impact TR-4 is invalid. It is invalid because its 4-minute threshold of significance/Performance Standard is arbitrarily high and has been arrived at with neither proper authority nor substantial evidence.

Allowance of a 4-minute Reservoir-related Transit Delay threshold of significance would violate the Transit First Policy.

Although the SEIR finds potentially significant impact for C-TR- 4, the potential impact is unfairly attributed to City College's FMP.

The actual real-world impact will be from the Reservoir Project; not City College. As such, the Reservoir Project's true impact to Transit Delay has been covered up by an egregiously liberal 4-minute threshold of significance. As such, the LTS determination for Impact TR-4 should objectively be invalid.

City College's future plans are fundamentally renovation projects to replace worn-out facilities. These renovation projects will not, in and of themselves—unlike the Reservoir Project—induce substantially greater demand for education services and resultant travel demand.

The SEIR blames the victim in its discussion of Impact C-TR-4.

I wish to reinforce my earlier analysis of the inappropriateness of using a 4-minute threshold of significance in reaching a "less-than-significant" determination for Impact TR-4.

I have already provided several critiques of various aspects of the SEIR's analyses contained in Section 3.B, Transportation & Circulation.

I have already compared the numbers for "Project-Related Increase in Delay" provided in Table 3.B-18, *Transit Delay Analysis*. I compared the Project-Related Delay to scheduled MUNI running times for the 43 line.

My analysis showed:

Option 1's "Project-Related Increase in Delay" of 115 seconds (1.9 minutes) represents a **27.4%** increase in travel time for the 7-minute running time segment between Monterey/Gennessee and Balboa Park Station.

Option 2's contribution of 141 seconds (2.4 minutes) of Reservoir-related delay represents a **33.6% increase in travel time** over the scheduled 7 minute running time between Monterey/Gennessee to Balboa Park Station.

I have analyzed the latest MUNI schedule information. I have attached a Table entitled "Reservoir-Related Delay in Relation to Reservoir Area MUNI Characteristics."

The Table compiles information gathered from official MUNI scheduling documents. The documents are "Rotations" and "Trains" that contain information on headways and timepoints.

The Table shows the percentage contribution of real-world Reservoir-related delay relative to current MUNI timepoint-to-timepoint running times, using the SEIR's 4-minute threshold of significance.

Percentage of increase in travel time over the existing MUNI running times are:

K Ingleside (between Geneva/San Jose and St. Francis Circle): 23.5% to 30.8%

8/8BX Bayshore/ Bayshore Express (Geneva/Mission-Unity Plaza) 50.0% to 66.7%

29 Sunset (19th/Holloway – Ocean/BART)
 25.0% to 33.3%

43 Masonic (Monterey/Gennessee – Geneva BART)
 44.4% to 57.1%

49 Van Ness (Mission/Ocean – Unity Plaza)
 50.0% to 57.1%

The lowest end of the range of Reservoir-related delay "authorized" by the SEIR is 23.5% increase over the K segment between Balboa Park Station and St. Francis Circle.

A threshold of significance that would allow 23.5% to 66.7% increases over existing running times is an egregiously poor threshold. FAIL and FUBAR.

Submitted by:

LINE	WEEK	DAY HEA	DWAY	BPS AREA	RESERVOIR-REL		
				RUNNING TIME	DELAY THRE		
	(minutes	:)	ROUTE SEGMENT	SIGNIFICANCE		
				(between MUNI			
				timepoints)			
				Percentage of	Percentage of		
				delay	delay		
				contribution to	contribution		
					BPS Area route	to City	
SOURC	e me	MILIM	II MAT	7 / 0 °	segment	Charter's	
200100				16-70	(deemed to be	MUNI 4-	
					insignificant!)	minute late	
CURRE	INT O	FFICI	AL MO	UNI		criterion	
mann naa		7T A T		ARIM			
RAILW	DIN VA			ANU		(deemed to	
TRAINS	S. effic	ective	9/5/	72019		be	
0 0 00 000 00		390106				insignificant!)	
K Ingleside	AM PEAK	MID- DAY	PM PEAK	<u>кт</u>	23.5% to	100%	
Ingleside	PEAR	DAT	PEAK	Canava ICan	30.8%		
				Geneva/San	30.0,0		
				Jose-			
				St. Francis Circle			
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 14			
	9-12	&	9-10	MID-DAY: 13			
	<i>y</i>	OB:		5 23			
		OD.		PM: 17			
	OB:	10	OB:	AM: 15			

o /opv	8-10	MID-	8-10 PM	MID-DAY: 15 PM: 16	(For Inbound	1000/	
8/8BX Bayshore	PEAK	DAY	PEAK	8/8BX Geneva/Mission– Unity Plaza	only) 50% to	100%	
	IB:	IB:	IB:	AM: 8	66.7%		
	6-7	7	6-7	MID-DAY: 6			
				PM: 8			
	OB:	OB:	OB:	(not available)			
	7	7-8	7	AM:			
				MID-DAY:			
				PM:			
LINE	WEEKDAY HEADWAY (minutes)			BPS AREA RUNNING TIME FOR ROUTE SEGMENT (between MUNI timepoints)	RESERVOIR-RELA DELAY THRE SIGNIFICANCE	SHOLD OF	
					Percentage of delay contribution to BPS Area route segment (deemed to be insignificant!)	Percentage of delay contribution to City Charter's MUNI 4-minute late criterion (deemed to be insignificant!)	
<mark>29</mark>	AM	MID-	PM	<u>29</u>	25% to	100%	
Sunset	PEAK	DAY	PEAK	19 TH /Holloway-	33.3%		
				Ocean BART			
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 12			
	9	&	10-12	MID-DAY: 14			

		OB:		PM: 15-17			
	OB:	12	OB:	AM: 15-16			
	10	12	10	MID-DAY: 15			
				27.11. 23			
				PM: 16			
<mark>43</mark>	AM	MID-	PM	<u>43</u>	44.4% to	100%	7
	PEAK	DAY	PEAK		57.1%		
Masonic				Monterey/	37.170		
				Gennessee-			
				Geneva BART			
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 9			
	9	&	10	MID-DAY: 8			
			10	WIID-DAT. 0			
		ОВ:		PM: 8			
	OB:	4.0	OB:	AM: 7-8			
	10	12	10	MID-DAY: 7			
	10		10	WIID-DAT. 7			
				PM: 7			
l				ļ			
LINE	WEEK	DAY HEA	DWAY	BPS AREA	RESERVOIR-RELATED TRANSIT		
	h		RUNNING TIME ROUTE SEGMENT				
	(minutes)		(between MUNI	SIGNIFICANCE	- 4 minutes		
				timepoints)			
					Percentage of	Percentage of	
					delay contribution to	delay contribution	
					BPS Area route	to City	
					segment	Charter's	
					(deemed to be	MUNI 4-	
					insignificant!)	minute late criterion	
						cinterion	
						(deemed to	
						be	
						insignificant!)	
Į.							

49 Van Ness	AM PEAK	MID- DAY	PM PEAK	49 Mission/Ocean-	50.0% to	100%	
					57.1%		
	Helios	100	Sea See	Unity Plaza	37.170		
	IB:	IB	IB:	AM: 8-9			
	8	&	8	MID-DAY: 8			
		OB:		PM: 9			
	OB:	9	OB:	AM: 8			
	10		7-8	MID-DAY: 7			
				PM: 8			
<mark>54</mark>	AM	MID-	PM	<u>54</u>			
	PEAK	DAY	PEAK				
Felton				Geneva/Mission-			
				Geneva BART			
		IB & OB:		AM: 4			
				MID-DAY: 4			
		20 min		PM: 5			
				AM: 4-5			
				MID-DAY: 4			
				PM: 5			